## PROGRAMMING DATA

City/County Existing Bridge No TIP Number			Street/Route Location Date					
Cost Estimate Break	down (In	\$1,000):						
	PE	R/W	Roadway	Bridge	Inspection	Utility	Total	
Cost Estimate								
Source of Match – (Circle one of the following:)				County/City/Soft Match/Other				
** SEE FIG	G. III-1-2	FOR NOT	ES REGARD	ING COMI	PLETION OF 1	THIS FORM	**	
EXISTING CONDITION				PROPOSED DESIGN IMPROVEMENT				
Functional Classification				Functional Classification				
ADTSpeed Limit				ADT				
Speed Limit				Speed Limit Design Speed				
Number of Travel Lanes				Number of Travel Lanes				
Lane width				Lane width				
Shoulder width				Shoulder width  Cook & cook 2 Ver Ne				
Curb & gutter? Yes/No Bridge width, measured from gutterline to				Curb & gutter? Yes/No				
gutterline	irea irom	gutterfine	.0	Briage w	idin, measured i	rom gutteriii	ie to	
Sidewalks? (I t/Pt/P	oth/None	`		Sidewalk	s s 2 (I t/Rt/Rath/N	Jone)		
Sidewalks? (Lt/Rt/Both/None)Sidewalk width :				gutterline Sidewalks? (Lt/Rt/Both/None) Sidewalk width:				
Sidewalk width:  Parking Allowed (Y/N)				Sidewalk width:  Parking Allowed (Y/N)				
Tarking Tinowea (T	/1 <b>1)</b>		<del></del>	Bridge Le	ength:			
MISCELLANEOU	S:			Roadway	Length:			
Topography ? (Flat/					8			
Railroad Crossing?	Y/N							
Will variance be req	uired?(Y	(/N) If so, 1	for what reason	n?				
Will additional right	of way or	r easement	be acquired?	(Y/N)				
Est. additional ROW	(in acres	): E	st. Perm. Ease	ments	Est. Temp. 1	Easements_		
Right of way acquis	ition by: (	(Consultan	t/Local Agenc	y/Other)				
Right of way conder	nnation by	y: (Consul	tant/Local Ag	ency/Other)				
Design by: (Consul-	tant/Local	Agency/C	other)					
Construction by: (C	contractor/	Local Ford	es)					
Inspection by: (Con								
ROW or Easements Any residential/com	from Park	s/Public L	ands? (Y/N)_					
					il of how many	and if reside	ntial and/oi	
commercial					<del></del>			
NATIONAL FLOC			,	NFIP) AND	HYDRAULIC	DESIGN D	ATA:	
Is Local Agency a p Is the project in a FF				00 year flac	oding"? (If ac. w	that Zana?		
Is the project in a FI	EMA-IGEN	unicu Zone	z, subject to I	oo-year 1100	Juling ! (II so, W	mai Zone!)_		
Is the project in a FI	fraguera:	torthact	way !					
LPA Manual design Does the project inv	olve land	nurchesed	through EEM	Л Надага М	litigation Crant 1	Drogram (Ela	and hurrout	
property)?	OIVE IAIIU	purchased	unough relvi	n Hazalu IVI	nuganon Grant I	i iogiaili (FIC	ou ouyout	

## PROGRAMMING DATA

REMARKS:		
Responsible individual who completed this document?	Phone #	
Any known locations of gas stations, landfills, other hazardous waste conc	erns? (Y/N) if yes,	
describe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Note 1: Attach map showing location and extent of project.

Note 2: Include traffic flow diagram for more than 2 lane improvement.

Note 3: Attach scope of engineering services if available.

## PROGRAMMING DATA FORM NOTES:

- 1. Lane and shoulder widths indicated for the proposed design improvements should be in accordance with the Design Criteria shown in Fig. VIII-1-1.
- 2. The proposed bridge width, measured between gutterlines (or clear distance on the structure) must match the combined lane and shoulder widths. (See Section VIII, pages 2 and 3, "Preliminary Plans").
- 3. If proposed lane, shoulder and bridge widths are other than that indicated by the Design Criteria, a Design Variance request should be provided with the Programming Data form to justify the variance from the Design Criteria. When wider lanes, shoulders or bridge widths are proposed to satisfy minimum requirements established by local ordinances for new construction, a copy of the local ordinance should be provided with the design variance request.
- 4. Note that if 9' lanes and 2' shoulders are indicated by the Design Criteria in Fig. VIII-1-1 (which would result in a combined roadway width of 22'), MoDOT will allow a bridge width, measured between gutterlines, of up to 24', if desired by the Local Agency, without need for a design variance request. The approach roadway width, however, will need to be increased to match the bridge width as indicated above.
- 5. If sidewalks are proposed, the sidewalk width should not be included in the bridge width. Instead, list separately for "sidewalk width" and in the bridge width entry, show the intended bridge width and add "plus sidewalk"; for example, "Bridge width, measured from gutterline to gutterline: 24' plus 5' for sidewalk. (See Section VIII, "Sidewalks", for sidewalk width requirements for pedestrian or combined pedestrian/bikeway use).
- 6. For projects that involve a stream crossing, indicate the appropriate hydraulic design frequency to be used for the design of the structure (identified in Fig. VIII-4 by route functional classification). Also, if the Local Agency is a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program, identify any regulatory FEMA-defined flooding areas that will apply for the design; such as, "floodway crossing", "Zone AE subject to 100 year flooding", "Zone A subject to 100-year flooding" or "not subject to 100-year flooding". It is intended that the identification of this information at the programming stage will help to clearly define the hydraulic design and regulatory Floodplain Development requirements before the preliminary design stage begins thus reducing the potential for delays or redesign at a later stage of the project development. (See Section VIII for specific information on hydraulic design requirements and information that will be required at the Preliminary Design submittal stage).
- 7. The consultant should note that design requirements to satisfy FEMA regulations (if the Local Agency is a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program) may control over the minimum hydraulic design criteria shown in the LPA Manual.